

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

10/632,898 08/04/2003 Wen-Tsan Ko MR2723-299 2891 4586 7590 03/18/2004 EXAMINER ROSENBERG, KLEIN & LEE ALIMENTI, SUSAN C 3458 ELLICOTT CENTER DRIVE-SUITE 101 ART UNIT PAPER NUM	APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
ROSENBERG, KLEIN & LEE 3458 ELLICOTT CENTER DRIVE-SUITE 101	10/632,898	(08/04/2003	Wen-Tsan Ko	MR2723-299	2891
3458 ELLICOTT CENTER DRIVE-SUITE 101	4586	7590	03/18/2004		EXAMINER	
		•		ALIMENTI, SUSAN C		
					ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3644					3644	

DATE MAILED: 03/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/632,898	KO, WEN-TSAN
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Susan C. Alimenti	3644
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 Au	<u>igust 2003</u> .	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E		
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-20 are subject to restriction and/or expending the application.		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	-,,	, ,
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		•
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	d) □ Intonia C	(PTO 412)
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ∐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Species 1 as viewed in Figure 1, Species 2 as viewed in Figure, Species 3 as viewed in Figure 3, Species 4 as viewed in Figure 4, Species 5 as viewed in Figure 5, Species 6 as viewed in Figures 6 & 11, Species 7 as viewed in Figure 7, Species 8 as viewed in Figure 8, Species 9 as viewed in Figure 9, Species 10 as viewed in Figure 10, and Species 11 as viewed in Figure 14.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

- 2. Upon election of one of Species 1-11, applicant is further required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect one of the following disclosed species:
 - A. The embodiment where the seed fixing film is a dissolvable type.
 - B. The embodiment where the seed fixing film is an undissolvable type.
- 3. Upon election of embodiments A or B applicant is further required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect one of the following disclosed species:
- a. The embodiment where the plants growing device is pressed to form a band so as to be bound as a roll for storing (as viewed in Figures 12A & 13A).

'Application/Control Number: 10/632,898

Art Unit: 3644

b. The embodiment where the plants growing device is pressed to form a band so as to be folded as computer form paper (as viewed in Figures 12B & 13B).

- 4. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.
- 5. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).
- 6. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

*Application/Control Number: 10/632,898

Art Unit: 3644

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susan C. Alimenti whose telephone number is 703-306-0360. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles T. Jordan can be reached on 703-306-4159. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SCA

CHARLES T. JORDAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600 Page 4